

# The largest cap in $AG(4, 4)$ and its uniqueness

Yves Edel

Mathematisches Institut der Universität  
Im Neuenheimer Feld 288  
69120 Heidelberg (GERMANY),

Jürgen Bierbrauer

Department of Mathematical Sciences  
Michigan Technological University  
Houghton, Michigan 49931 (USA)

## Abstract

We show that 40 is the maximum number of points of a cap in  $AG(4, 4)$ . Up to semi-linear transformations there is only one such 40-cap. Its group of automorphisms is a semidirect product of an elementary abelian group of order 16 and the alternating group  $A_5$ .

## 1 Introduction

A cap is a set of points no 3 of which are collinear. The maximum number of points of a cap in  $PG(n, q)$  or  $AG(n, q)$  for  $n > 3, q > 2$  is known only in a few cases. In  $PG(4, 3)$  and  $AG(4, 3)$  the maximum is 20 (see Pellegrino [7]) and all these caps are known. In  $PG(5, 3)$  the maximum is 56 (Hill [6]), in  $AG(5, 3)$  the maximum is 45 [3]. In both cases the maximal caps are uniquely determined. The 45-cap in  $AG(5, 3)$  is an affine section of the Hill cap in  $PG(5, 3)$ . Only one further value of the problem mentioned above is known: the maximum size of a cap in  $PG(4, 4)$  is 41 [2]. The proof that there are exactly two 41-caps in  $PG(4, 4)$  under the action of  $P\Gamma L(5, 4)$  will appear in a forthcoming paper.

In the present paper we prove the following:

**Theorem 1.** *The maximum number of points of a cap in  $AG(4, 4)$  is 40. Call a cap in  $PG(4, 4)$  affine if it avoids a hyperplane. There is only one orbit of affine 40-caps in  $PG(4, 4)$  under the action of  $P\Gamma L(5, 4)$  and two orbits under the action of  $PGL(5, 4)$ . This cap is complete in  $PG(4, 4)$ . Its group of automorphisms has order 960 and is transitive on the points of the cap.*

In Section 2 we construct the 40-cap in  $AG(4, 4)$ , starting from its automorphism group. The proof of maximality and uniqueness is described in the final section.

## 2 Description of the maximal cap in $AG(4, 4)$

We start from a description of the group of automorphisms. Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, 4)$ . The mapping

$$A \mapsto \iota(A) = \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc|c} a & b & 0 & 0 & (ab)^2 \\ c & d & 0 & 0 & (cd)^2 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & a^2 & b^2 & ab \\ 0 & 0 & c^2 & d^2 & cd \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

describes an embedding  $\iota : SL(2, 4) \rightarrow SL(5, 4)$ . Let  $W(B) = \begin{pmatrix} I & B \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \in SL(5, 4)$ , where  $B$  is a  $(2, 3)$ -matrix. Then  $W = \{W(B)\}$  is an elementary abelian group of order  $4^6$  and  $W(B_1)W(B_2) = W(B_1 + B_2)$ . We have

$$\iota(A)^{-1}W\left(\begin{pmatrix} u & v & x \\ w & x & u \end{pmatrix}\right)\iota(A) = W\left(\begin{pmatrix} U & V & X \\ W & X & U \end{pmatrix}\right) \quad (1)$$

where

$$X = ad^2x + b^2cu + cd^2v + ab^2w, \quad U = bc^2x + a^2du + c^2dv + a^2bw,$$

$$V = bd^2x + b^2du + d^3v + b^3w, \quad W = ac^2x + a^2cu + c^3v + a^3w$$

**Lemma 1.** *Consider the standard action of  $SL(2, 4)$  on a 2-dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_4$ -vector space  $S$  with basis  $v_1, v_2$ :*

$$Av_1 = av_1 + cv_2, \quad Av_2 = bv_1 + dv_2$$

and let  $\phi(A)$  be the image of  $A$  under the Frobenius automorphism (i.e. the mapping  $\phi : \mathbb{F}_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_4 : x \mapsto x^2$ ). The tensor product  $S \otimes S$  is a 4-dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_4$ -vector space with basis  $v_1 \otimes v_1, v_2 \otimes v_2, v_1 \otimes v_2, v_2 \otimes v_1$ . Let  $SL(2, 4)$  act on  $S \otimes S$  such that  $A$  acts on the first component and  $\phi(A)$  acts on the second component ( $v \otimes w \mapsto (Av) \otimes (\phi(A)w)$ ).

This action of  $SL(2, 4)$  is similar to the permutation action as described in (1) of  $\iota(SL(2, 4))$  on the  $W\left(\begin{pmatrix} u & v & x \\ w & x & u \end{pmatrix}\right)$ . The  $SL(2, 4)$ -equivariant isomorphism is given by

$$w(v_1 \otimes v_1) + v(v_2 \otimes v_2) + x(v_1 \otimes v_2) + u(v_2 \otimes v_1) \mapsto W\left(\begin{pmatrix} u & v & x \\ w & x & u \end{pmatrix}\right)$$

This follows directly by inspection. Because of Lemma 1 each additive subgroup of  $S \otimes S$ , which is invariant under the action of  $SL(2, 4)$ , describes a semidirect product embedded in  $SL(5, 4)$ .

**Lemma 2.** *The  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -submodule (additive subgroup)  $V$  generated by  $\bar{w}(v_1 \otimes v_1), \bar{w}(v_2 \otimes v_2)$  and the  $\bar{w}\delta(v_1 \otimes v_2) + \bar{w}\delta^2(v_2 \otimes v_1)$  is an  $SL(2, 4)$ -module under the action of  $SL(2, 4)$  from Lemma 1.*

**Corollary 1.** *The group  $\iota(SL(2, 4))$  acts by conjugation on the elementary abelian subgroup  $V$  consisting of  $W\left(\begin{pmatrix} u & v & x \\ w & x & u \end{pmatrix}\right)$  where  $v, w \in \{0, \bar{w}\}$  and  $(x, u) = \bar{w}(\delta, \delta^2)$  for some  $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_4$ . Denote by  $G$  the semidirect product  $V : SL(2, 4) \subset SL(5, 4)$ .*

**Definition 1.** *Let  $K$  be the orbit of  $P = (0, 0, 0, 0, 1)^T$  under  $G$ .*

**Lemma 3.** *We have  $|K| = 40$ , and  $K$  consists of the points  $Q = (\bar{w}a\delta + \bar{w}b\delta^2 + (ab)^2, \bar{w}c\delta + \bar{w}d\delta^2 + (cd)^2, ab, cd, 1)$ , where  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, 4)$  and  $\delta \in \mathbb{F}_4$ .*

*Proof.* Application of  $W(B)$  to  $P$  yields  $(\bar{w}\delta, \bar{w}\delta^2, 0, 0, 1)^T$ . Its image under  $\iota(A)$  is

$$Q = (\bar{w}a\delta + \bar{w}b\delta^2 + (ab)^2, \bar{w}c\delta + \bar{w}d\delta^2 + (cd)^2, ab, cd, 1).$$

Assume  $Q = P$ . Then  $ab = cd = 0$ , which means that  $A$  is in a subgroup  $SL(2, 2)$ . The first coordinates show  $\delta(a + b\delta) = \delta(c + d\delta) = 0$ . If  $\delta \neq 0$  we

obtain the contradiction  $\det(A) = 0$ . It follows that the stabilizer of  $P$  in  $G$  consists of those elements  $\iota(A)W(B)$ , where  $\delta = 0$  and  $ab = cd = 0$ . This group has order  $4 \cdot 6$ . The length of the orbit of  $P$  under  $G$  is therefore 40. ■

**Lemma 4.** *The intersection of  $K$  with the hyperplane  $x_4 = 0$  consists of the affine ovoid  $V(\omega X_2^2 + X_3^2 + X_1 X_5 + X_2 X_3) \setminus \{(1, 0, 0, 0, 0)\}$ . The intersection of  $K$  with the hyperplane  $x_3 = 0$  consists of the affine ovoid  $V(\omega X_1^2 + X_4^2 + X_2 X_5 + X_1 X_4) \setminus \{(0, 1, 0, 0, 0)\}$ . Here  $V(f(X_1, \dots, X_n))$  denotes the algebraic variety determined by the homogeneous polynomial  $f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ .*

*Proof.* Consider point  $Q$  in Lemma 3, the generic image of  $P$  under an element of  $G$ . We have  $Q \in (x_4 = 0)$  if and only if  $cd = 0$ . There are  $16 \cdot 24$  elements of  $G$  having this property. As the stabilizer of  $P$  has order 24 it follows  $|C \cap (x_4 = 0)| = 16$ . The points  $Q \in K \cap (x_4 = 0)$  have the form  $Q = (\bar{\omega}a\delta + \bar{\omega}b\delta^2 + (ab)^2, \bar{\omega}c\delta + \bar{\omega}d\delta^2, ab, 0, 1)$ . Its coordinates satisfy

$$\omega x_2^2 = \bar{\omega}c^2\delta^2 + \bar{\omega}d^2\delta^4 = \bar{\omega}c^2\delta^2 + \bar{\omega}d^2\delta$$

(because  $\delta^4 = \delta$ ) and

$$x_3^2 + x_1 x_5 = \bar{\omega}a\delta + \bar{\omega}b\delta^2, \quad x_2 x_3 = \bar{\omega}abc\delta + \bar{\omega}abd\delta^2.$$

Collecting terms we obtain

$$\omega(\omega x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_1 x_5 + x_2 x_3) = \delta(a + abc + d^2) + \delta^2(b + abd + c^2).$$

Recall  $cd = 0$ . Assume  $c = 0$ . Then  $ad = 1$  and the coefficient of  $\delta^2$  vanishes. The coefficient of  $\delta$  is  $a + d^2 = (1 + d^3)/d = 0$ . In case  $d = 0$  a symmetric argument applies. This shows that the points  $Q \in C \cap (x_4 = 0)$  are on the quadric as claimed. Case  $x_3 = 0$  follows by symmetry. ■

**Theorem 2.** *The points of  $K$  form a cap.*

*Proof.* Recall that the 40 points of  $K$  form an orbit under the action of  $G$  and  $P \in K$ . Assume three points of  $K$  are collinear. Then there is a line through  $P$  containing two further points  $Q_1, Q_2$  of  $K$ . The affine parts of these two points (the first four coordinates) must be scalar multiples of each other. Lemma 4 shows that this does not happen when these points satisfy  $x_3 = 0$  or  $x_4 = 0$ . Consider a point  $Q \in K$  such that  $ab \neq 0, cd \neq 0$ . We must have  $ad \in \{\omega, \bar{\omega}\}$  and therefore  $abcd = 1$ . It follows that such points satisfy  $x_4 = 1/x_3$ . For any two such points the pair  $(x_3, x_4)$  is one of  $(1, 1), (\omega, \bar{\omega}), (\bar{\omega}, \omega)$ . Any two such pairs which are scalar multiples of each other must be identical. ■

Consider the hyperplanes

$$H_1 = (x_3 = 0), \quad H_2 = (x_4 = 0), \quad H_3 = (x_3 + x_4 + x_5 = 0),$$

$$H_4 = (\omega x_3 + \bar{\omega} x_4 + x_5 = 0), \quad H_5 = (\bar{\omega} x_3 + \omega x_4 + x_5 = 0).$$

Then  $\{H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4, H_5\}$  form an orbit under  $G$ . Clearly  $\cap_{i=1}^5 H_i$  is the line  $x_3 = x_4 = x_5 = 0$ , and  $V$  acts on each  $H_i$ . The kernel of the permutation action of  $G$  on these hyperplanes is of course precisely  $V$ , and  $\iota(SL(2, 4))$  acts as  $A_5$ .

The intersection of  $K$  with hyperplane  $H_1$  is an affine ovoid:

$$K \cap (x_3 = 0) = (x_3 = 0) \cap (x_5 = 1) \cap V(\omega X_1^2 + X_4^2 + X_2 X_5 + X_1 X_4).$$

The action of  $G$  shows that  $K \cap H_i$  is an affine ovoid for all  $i = 1, \dots, 5$ . In fact  $K = \cup_{i=1}^5 (K \cap H_i)$ , and each point of  $K$  is in precisely two of the hyperplanes  $H_i$ . Further  $H_i \cap H_j \cap K$  has precisely 4 points whenever  $i \neq j$ , and  $K$  is the disjoint union of  $H \cap H' \cap K$ , where  $\{H, H'\}$  varies over the pairs of our hyperplanes.

### 3 Maximality and uniqueness

We show that the affine 40-cap  $K$  described in Section 2 is up to the action of the group  $P\Gamma L(5, 4)$  of semi-linear transformations the only affine cap in  $PG(4, 4)$ . Also,  $K$  is complete in  $PG(4, 4)$  and the group  $G$  from Section 2 is the full stabilizer of  $K$  in  $P\Gamma L(5, 4)$ . This suffices to prove all claims of Theorem 1. As  $G$  does not have a subgroup of index 2 it follows that there are precisely two orbits of affine 40-caps under the action of  $PGL(5, 4)$ .

Let  $A \subset PG(4, 4)$  be an affine 40-cap. Consider a  $(5, 40)$ -matrix  $M$  whose columns are representatives of the points of  $A$ . Consider  $M$  as generator matrix of a code  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(A)$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is a linear  $[40, 5]_4$ -code, and  $w$  is the weight of a codeword from  $\mathcal{C}$  if and only if there is a hyperplane of  $PG(4, 4)$  intersecting  $A$  in precisely  $40 - w$  points.

Let  $d$  be the minimum distance of  $\mathcal{C}$ . By the Griesmer bound of coding theory [4] we have  $d \leq 28$ . This means that  $A$  meets some hyperplane in at least 12 points.

Assume  $d = 28$ , equivalently that all hyperplane sections of  $A$  are  $\leq 12$ . Denote by  $n_i$  the number of hyperplanes intersecting  $A$  in  $i$  points and by  $H_0$  a hyperplane avoiding  $A$ . We use a generalization of the construction of residual codes, which can be found in [5]:

**Theorem 3.** *If there is a linear  $[n, k, d]_q$ -code, which contains a codeword of weight  $w$ , where  $w < dq/(q-1)$ , then we can construct an  $[n-w, k-1]_q$ -code of minimum distance  $\geq d - \lfloor w(q-1)/q \rfloor$ .*

Note that in the situation of Theorem 3 the  $n-w$  points in the hyperplane yield the columns of the generator matrix of a code  $[n-w, k-1, d']$ , where  $d' \geq d - \lfloor w(q-1)/q \rfloor$ .

Assume  $A$  intersects a hyperplane in 11 points. Then Theorem 3 produces an  $[11, 4, 7]_4$ -code. As such a code does not exist [1] we obtain a contradiction. By the same argument the non-existence of  $[7, 4, 4]_4$ - and  $[6, 4, 3]_4$ -codes [1] shows that  $A$  has no hyperplane section of 7 or 6 points. Let  $H_0$  be the hyperplane at infinity avoiding  $A$ . In homogeneous coordinates we write  $H_0 = (x_0 = 0)$  and represent points not in  $H_0$  as  $(1 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4)$ . Call two hyperplanes different from  $H_0$  parallel if they intersect  $H_0$  in the same plane. The 340 hyperplanes different from  $H_0$  come in 85 parallel classes of four each. Such a parallel class has type  $(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4)$ , where  $s_1 \geq s_2 \geq s_3 \geq s_4$ , if  $A$  intersects the hyperplanes of this parallel class in  $s_1, s_2, s_3$  and  $s_4$  points. As none of the  $s_i$  exceeds 12 and none equals 11, 7 or 6 the only possible types of parallel classes of hyperplanes are

$$(12, 12, 12, 4), (12, 12, 8, 8), (12, 10, 10, 8), (12, 10, 9, 9), (10, 10, 10, 10).$$

Let  $a_1, \dots, a_5$  be the number of parallel classes of the respective type. Assume  $a_3 = a_5 = 0$ . The standard equations on the hyperplane intersection numbers

$$\sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{i}{s} n_i = \binom{40}{s} \frac{4^{5-s} - 1}{3}, \quad s = 0 \dots 3,$$

(equivalent to  $\mathcal{C}$  having dual distance  $> 3$ ) yield equations on the  $a_i$  :

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 + a_2 + a_4 &= 85 \\ 204a_1 + 188a_2 + 183a_4 &= 16380 \\ 664a_1 + 552a_2 + 508a_4 &= 49400 \end{aligned}$$

The unique solution has  $a_2 < 0$ , contradiction.

Consequently parallel classes of type  $(12, 10, 10, 8)$  or  $(10, 10, 10, 10)$  must occur. We can assume that  $H_1 = (x_1 = 0)$  is one of the hyperplanes intersecting  $A$  in 10 points. Theorem 3 shows in fact that the  $(4, 10)$ -matrix with

columns  $(1, x_2, x_3, x_4)^T$ , where  $(1 : 0 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4)$  varies over  $A \cap H_1$ , generates a code  $[10, 4, 6]_4$ . Such codes (containing the 1-word, of dual distance 4) do exist. Fortunately they can be classified. An exhaustive computer search was performed. Under the action of the stabilizer of  $H_0$  and of  $H_1$  in  $PGL(5, 4)$  there are 3 orbits of such codes (equivalently, from the dual perspective, orbits of 10-caps in  $H_1 \setminus H_0$ , which generate a code of dual distance 6). Using a similar computer search as in [2] we see that none of these 10-caps in  $H_1$  can be completed to an affine 40-cap intersecting the parallels of  $H_1$  in  $\{12, 10, 8\}$  or  $\{10, 10, 10\}$  points.

This shows that  $d < 28$ , equivalently  $A$  must intersect some hyperplane in more than 12 points. Assume the largest hyperplane intersection is 13, 14 or 15. It is possible to classify the caps of these sizes in  $H_1 \setminus H_0$ . The group induced by  $PGL(5, 5)$  on  $H_1$ , mapping  $H_0$  to itself, is a semidirect product of an elementary abelian group of order  $4^3$  and  $\Gamma L(3, 4)$ . There are 4 orbits of 13-caps, 2 orbits of 14-caps and one orbit of 15-caps (of course). None of these can be completed to an affine 40-cap.

This shows that the maximal hyperplane intersection size must be 16. The 16-cap in  $H_1$  is uniquely determined. Another exhaustive search produced all the affine 40-caps containing this starting cap. It turns out that they all are in one orbit under  $PGL(5, 4)$ . Moreover  $K$  is complete as a cap in  $PG(4, 4)$ . Another computer search shows that the stabilizer of  $K$  in  $PGL(5, 4)$  has order 960. This completes the proof of Theorem 1. The hyperplane intersection numbers are

$$n_{16} = 5, n_{12} = 120, n_{10} = 160, n_8 = 15, n_4 = 40, n_0 = 1.$$

## References

- [1] A.E. Brouwer: Data base of bounds for the minimum distance for linear codes, URL <http://www.win.tue.nl/~aeb/voorlincod.html>
- [2] Y.Edel and J.Bierbrauer, *41 is the largest size of a cap in  $PG(4, 4)$* , *Designs, Codes and Cryptography* **16** (1999),151-160.
- [3] Y.Edel, S.Ferret, I.Landjev and L.Storme: *The classification of the largest caps in  $AG(5, 3)$* , *Journal of Combinatorial Theory A* **99** (2002), 95-110.

- [4] J.H. Griesmer: *A bound for error correcting codes*,  
*IBM Journal Research Development* **4** (1960), 532-542.
- [5] B. Groneick and S. Grosse: *New binary codes*,  
*IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* **40** (1994), 510-512.
- [6] R.Hill: *The largest size of cap in  $S_{5,3}$* ,  
*Rend. Acc. Naz. Lincei (8)* **54** (1973), 378-384.
- [7] G.Pellegrino: *Sul massimo ordine delle calotte in  $S_{4,3}$* ,  
*Matematiche (Catania)* **25** (1970), 1-9.